At the beginning of cardiac pacing, the main concern was the maintenance of an adequate heart rate, regardless of some aspects of cardiac function, given the absence of deleterious effects demonstrated during the acute period. The classic pacing site in the right ventricle (RV) was the apical region. In the 1980s, some harmful effects of pacing in RV apex began to be described, such as asymmetric ventricular hypertrophy, ventricular dilation, abnormal disposition of myocardial fibers, increased myocardial catecholamine concentration and myocardial perfusion abnormalities.

More recently, some studies, such as DAVID,1 showed that in patients with implantable defibrillator indication and decreased ejection fraction (EF: 40%), and in the absence of an indication of bradyarrhythmia pacing, patients randomized to DDD-R pacing with a minimum rate of 70 beats per minute (bpm) had worse clinical evolution (combined objective of death and/or hospitalization due to heart failure) than those assigned to VVI pacing with a minimum rate of 40 bpm.

In a post hoc sub-study of the MOST study, which compared DDD-R pacing with VVI-R pacing in patients with sinus dysfunction, the relationship between the proportion of beats with ventricular pacing and hospitalization due to heart failure or appearance of atrial fibrillation was analyzed. This study revealed a significant and direct relationship between the proportion of paced beats and the deterioration of ventricular function and/or the risk of developing ventricular fibrillation, regardless of whether the form of pacing was DDDR or VVIR. Therefore, in this sub-study a high proportion of stimulated beats were a predictor of deterioration of ventricular function and/or atrial fibrillation. In the search for alternative pacing sites in order to avoid the deleterious effects of pacing at RV apex, alternative pacing sites, such as the RV outflow tract, were started with the help of new active fixation catheters. However, the results have been neither very concordant nor very encouraging.

We studied the instantaneous electrical and mechanical effect of pacing at different sites of the RV and showed that the site of pacing with less delay of the free wall of the left ventricle (VI), in patients without intraventricular conduction disorder is, without a doubt, the interventricular septum, at para-Hisian level2. Pacing at that level a QRS complex with similar characteristics to the basal is attempted.

Thus demonstrated which is the best site of RV pacing, common sense indicates that para-Hisian pacing is the closest to the natural pathway of the electrical impulse. However, there are still technical difficulties that make this pacing less easy to achieve than that of the apex of the RV.

There are reports of usually higher chronic thresholds and lower R-wave amplitudes. The stability of the catheters in that area would not be a big problem, and while locating the area requires more care and time, the potential benefit of the ventricular function of the patient justifies it.

In any case, and in the absence of large series of long-term follow-up with para-Hisian pacing, it is preferable to indicate this area of pacing cautiously, trying to ensure that patients are not dependent (due to the possible pathological threshold increase or possible catheter displacement). There is a controversy between the Hisian and para-Hisian pacing, being the Hisian less reliable although more elegant, mimicking the normal QRS, the para-Hisian is ventricular and safer, although they share the same electrical synchrony and that is why the method should be of choice.

Some groups systematically use a three-chamber pacemaker, (two catheters in the RV, one in the apex and one in the para-Hisian region) in order to have a safety catheter in the RV apex. In our series in pacemaker-dependent patients we have used it regularly without complications, but we accept that a more meticulous study would be important to analyze in which patients this type of pacing should be indicated, attentive to the aforementioned drawbacks. However, in our series of more than 300 patients, para-Hisian pacing would not require additional safety pacing at the RV apex.

Another interesting aspect observed in our series is the use of conventional active fixation catheters, which did not show any disadvantages with respect to those designed for this purpose.

The catheter implanted in the septal or para-Hisian area, guided by an electrical synchrony assessment method that ensures that the stimulation of the chosen area does not produce dys synchronies or “electrical decoupling” between RV and LV, is highly reliable with conventional active fixation catheters.

**High Septal Penetration Stimulation - Electric Bypass: An Approach to Permanent Physiological Stimulation**

Various ways have been developed to stimulate the His bundle trunk by septal stimulation. However, there are numerous difficulties in its implementation and special training is required for catheter placement, with varying results. It has been shown that if the penetration of the wavefront to the trunk is obtained by septal stimulation, the result is a narrow QRS complex, similar to that of normal conduction and exhibiting a similar hemodynamic performance.

We have developed a new technique of right septal stimulation, which allows generating a wavefront with simultaneous ventricular depolarization and a narrow QRS complex, both in patients with normal basic QRS and in those with conduction disorders such as left bundle branch block.
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But the most notable thing is that apex stimulation always
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In some patients with resynchronizers it is impossible to
obtain synchronous curves, either due to the position of
the catheter or due to the myocardium. These are the cases
called “electric non-responders” and represent less than 10%.
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or better than resynchronizing, but simpler\textsuperscript{6-9}

How would these principles change?

Left bundle branch block in patients with and without heart failure: there is debate on this issue. When we started practicing para-Hisian pacing, it was only an idea because there were not active fixation leads at that time\textsuperscript{10}. Today, standard catheters and some emerging from recent designs make it possible to fix them in an area that normalizes the QRS, or electrically synchronizes both ventricles.

If we add an XStim wave to this mode of stimulation, the task of resynchronizing would be much easier but the latter is not currently available.

It is known that trunk blocks are not similar to branch blocks in patients with heart failure and the response in the latter is encouraging.

In patients with AV conduction disorders, in whom there is a need to implant a definitive pacing device, the choice is a DDD pacemaker with septal or para-Hisianpacing site which guarantees stability and long-term synchrony and safety without the need for back-up catheter.\textsuperscript{11} The same happens with those patients with narrow QRS, our first choice is, without a doubt, para-Hisianpacing.

This behavior is being imposed in many places in South America guided by the non-invasive QRS variance analysis method (Synchromax), and various publications are stating that this type of stimulation is becoming more frequent.

In patients with left deviation the electric axis which happens in the left anterior fascicular block it is common that they have dyssynchrony because RV activation is mostly in the caudo-cephalic direction due to the absence of septal activation forces of the left hemi-branch, while that the LV is just the opposite. Para-Hisianpacing normalizes this deviation, and QRS normalization in patients with right bundle branch block is almost certain.

Brugada Syndrome is an atypical RBBB:para-Hisianpacing could become an alternative therapy in this anomaly. In 2016, the case of a patient admitted by electrical storm was presented, with the history of Brugada Syndrome, who had VVI defibrillator implanted. She was refractory to multiple antiarrhythmic medical treatments, including endocardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia of the right ventricle outflow tract due to numerous syncopal episodes secondary to this arrhythmia. The patient was admitted by electrical storm with five appropriate electrical shocks by ventricular fibrillation in one day. An upgrade of the device was performed by implanting a defibrillator with cardiac resynchronization therapy (TRC-D) in order, among others, to restore AV synchrony. A septal catheter was implanted in the para-Hisian area to eliminate the Brugada patent during sinus rhythm. By means of para-Hisiana pacing it was possible not only to eliminate the Brugada patent but also to maintain the electrical synchrony measured with the Synchromax method\textsuperscript{12}. At present, the patient continues with a DDD pacemaker and permanent ventricular pacing, without repeating arrhythmias with a follow-up of more than 3 years, probably linked to the fact that she no longer presents the Brugada patent.

From that moment, and according to our experience, we could speculate all the CDI implants in Brugada syndrome patients should follow the para-Hisian pacing technique to normalize the QRS. Something similar happens with those defibrillators implanted for other causes to avoid the adver-